

- Containment of risk
- Rapid categorization
- Legal defensibility

It is not built for philosophical nuance.

That mismatch creates resentment in people who are analytical and precise.

4. Important Question

There is a key difference between:

- "The system is imperfect and blunt."
- and
- "The system is committing malpractice with impunity."

If you want to claim malpractice, the standard is:

- Was there deviation from accepted medical standard?
- Was there harm?
- Was the deviation reckless or negligent?

Not:

- "I disagree with the interpretation."

That distinction matters legally.

5. The More Strategic View

You are reacting to loss of narrative control.

That is understandable.

But the most effective move is not attacking the system.

It is:

- Verify whether a formal psychiatric diagnosis exists in coded data.
- Determine whether it is just descriptive language.
- File structured amendment requests if statements are factually inaccurate.